

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/477,608	01/04/2000		WOLFGANG HILL	IP-23	9478	
7:	90	08/26/2004		EXAMINER		
WOLFGANG HILL				ADDISON, KAREN B		
ORTENBERG: KARLSRUHE,		5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GERMANY				2834		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				4M
w v		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/477,608	HILL, WOLFGANG	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Karen B Addison	2834	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
THE - Ex aft - If t - If t - Fa - An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I 36(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	.*
1)[\(\sum_{\substack}\)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 l</u>	February 2003 .		
2a)[		nis action is non-final.		
3)[		ance except for formal matt		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
<b>Applica</b>	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)∟_	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
40)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rel	•		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
а	)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		·•
	<ul> <li>a)           The translation of the foreign language pro          Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>	ovisional application has be	en received.	
Attachme				
2) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/477,608

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 and 7 are allowed as stated in the previous office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being as being unpatentable over Rosenberry (4392072) in view of Rosenberry (4,403401).

Rosenberry discloses in fig.1-3 an stator for an electric motor comprising: a non grain oriented stator (2) having a soft magnetic body (resin) and teeth (cobalt) alloy) that are disposed towards an air gap possessing inhomogeneous properties in regards to the magnetic flux. Rosenberry also discloses, a region facing the air gap consisting of a material having higher magnetizability than the remaining region of the soft magnetic body (2) disposed more distant from the air gap, belonging to the same magnetic circuit and the and a soft magnetic body possessing in total, a larger cross section in direction of the flux than the sum of said teeth (grain oriented) that are disposed toward said air gap. It is inherent that the electric motor consist of a rotor and a stator therefore the motor will have at least one magnetic circuit and at least two structural groups that are moveable

Application/Control Number: 09/477,608

Art Unit: 2834

against each other (rotor and stator) and separated by and air gap in regards to the magnetic flux. Roseberry does not disclose at the yoke consisting of crystalline material.

Rosenberry disclose in fig.1-6 a stator for an electric motor: a non-grain oriented stator (1) having a soft magnetic body and teeth (5) made of a crystalline material fig. 6(8) for the purpose high magnetic induction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electric motor of Rosenberry with stator material of Rosenberry for the purpose obtaining high magnetic induction in the stator.

Claims 4-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberry in view of Rosenberry applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Fanning (606974 A1)

Rosenberry substantially discloses the claim invention. However, Rosenberry do not disclose the soft magnetic body stacked in a tangential direction and the thickness of the sheet increases as the radius increases and the magnetic body consists of electric sheet of variable.

Fanning discloses in fig.6 a Laminated stator comprising: soft magnetic body(18) stacked in a tangential direction and the thickness of the sheet increases as the radius increases(56) and the magnetic body consists of electric sheets having a variable thickness for the purpose of providing a substantially continuous path circumferentially around the inner core(50a). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 2834

Rosenberry with the soft magnetic body of Fanning for the purpose of providing a substantially continuous path circumferentially around the inner core.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KBA 8/26/04

THOMAS M. DOUGHER

GROUP 2800